



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/661,728

09/12/2003

Wu Li

SMBZ 2 01007

8276

27885

7590

07/03/2008

FAY SHARPE LLP

1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER

THOMPSON, CAMIE S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1794

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/03/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/661,728	Applicant(s) LI ET AL.	
	Examiner Camie S. Thompson	Art Unit 1794	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE filed June 5, 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-28 and 46-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 8-28 and 46-48 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 5, 2008 has been entered.
2. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed June 5, 2008 and June 18, 2008 are acknowledged.
3. Examiner acknowledges amended claim 1.
4. Examiner acknowledges cancelled claims 2, 7 and 29-45.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-28 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takehashi et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,142,192 in view of Yano et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,699,596.

Art Unit: 1794

Takehasei discloses an electroluminescent element that includes insulating layers on both sides of a luminous layer wherein the luminous layer comprises ZnS:Mn (see column 3, lines 62-63) and the insulating layer comprises a fluoride-containing material such as MgF_2 (see column 3, lines 51-68). Additionally, embodiment 4 of the Takahasei reference discloses that the luminous layer can comprise SrS:Ce. Takahasei does not disclose that the phosphor layer is a rare earth metal activated barium thioaluminate or rare earth activated magnesium barium thioaluminate. Yano discloses a blue full color EL display comprising a phosphor thin film wherein the phosphor is a barium thioaluminate or magnesium barium thioaluminate with europium added as the activator (see column 2, lines 46-68). Column 3, lines 1-16 discloses that the atomic ratio of Mg to Ba may fall in the range between 0.05 and 0.8, $x = 1-5$, $y = 1$ to 15 $z = 3-30$ and $w = 3-30$. Also, the reference discloses that the phosphor thin layer is sandwiched between first and second insulating layers (see Figure 2 and column 6, lines 42-53). Column 2, lines 64-68 of the Yano reference discloses that oxygen may substitute for sulfur in barium thioaluminate to yield an oxysulfide. Also, example 1 of the Yano reference discloses that the magnesium barium thioaluminate film contains a substantial amount of oxygen. It is disclosed in column 6, lines 53-68 of the Yano reference that the substrate can be a glass or glass ceramic substrate. Yano also discloses that the phosphor thin film is annealed at 400 to 800 °C. Additionally, Yano discloses that the light emitting layer comprising the phosphor thin film of magnesium barium thioaluminate is preferably about 100 to 2,000 nm thick (see column 4, lines 58-64). Column 7, lines 11-43 of the Yano reference discloses that the first thick film insulating layer has a thickness of 5-50 μm and the second insulating layer has a thickness of 100 to 500 nm. Yano also discloses that the first insulating layer can be barium titanate as per instant claim 47. Figure

Art Unit: 1794

2 of the Yano reference discloses a dielectric layer. Yano discloses in column 1 that blue luminescence can be achieved by SrS:Ce (same phosphor used in Takehashi reference). Yano also discloses that the layers are annealed. However, Yano does disclose that the luminescence of SrS:Ce is short and that blue luminescence can be improved using thioaluminate phosphors such as BaAl₂S₄:Eu (see column 1, lines 40-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a thioaluminate phosphor such as BaAl₂S₄:Eu in an electroluminescent element in order to have blue light with higher purity and a display of better quality. Neither reference discloses that the fluoride from the fluoride containing layer is partially infused into the phosphor layer. However, Yano does disclose that the layers are annealed as required by the present claims. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the fluoride from the insulating layer would be partially infused into the phosphor layer since the layer are annealed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed June 5, 2008 and June 18, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite that the fluoride from the fluoride containing layer is partially infused into the phosphor layer. Yano discloses that the layers are annealed as required by the present claims. Annealing of the layers would allow partial infusion of the fluoride into the phosphor layer. Applicant has added claim 48 to recite the luminance of the device. The combination of the Takehashi and Yano references read on the device of the present claims and would be expected to have the same luminance of the present claims. The rejection is maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached at (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Bruce H Hess/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794